Kansas Administrative Regulations Economic Impact Statement (EIS)

Kansas Department of Revenue Agency		Taylor Murray Agency Contact	785-296-6093 Contact Phone Number		
	nd 92-5-10				
K.A.R. Nun			⊠ F	Permanent Temporary	
	-	-	ation(s) mandated by the federal g	•	
□ Yes	If yes, continue to fill out the remaining form to be included with the regulation packet submit in the review process to the Department of Administration and the Attorney General. Bud approval is not required; however, the Division of the Budget will require submission of a coof the EIS at the end of the review process.				
⊠ No	If no, do the total annual implementation and compliance costs for the proposed rule(s) are regulation(s), calculated from the effective date of the rule(s) and regulation(s), exceed \$1 million over any two-year period through June 30, 2024, or exceed \$3.0 million over any two year period on or after July 1, 2024 (as calculated in Section III, F)?				
	□ Yes	packet submitted in the	I out the remaining form to be in the review process to the Departm D the Division of the Budget. The proval.	nent of Administration, th	
	⊠ No	packet submitted in the Attorney General. Bu	l out the remaining form to be in ne review process to the Department adget approval is not required; ho abmission of a copy of the EIS at the	nt of Administration and thowever, the Division of the	
PPROVAL STA	MP (If Required)				

Section I

Brief description of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s).

K.A.R. 92-5-9 and 92-5-10 are regulations implementing K.S.A. 79-3312. The Department of Revenue issues tax stamps to wholesale dealers as evidence of tax paid. K.S.A. 79-3312 governs refunds to wholesale dealers if tax stamps are returned to the Department unused or if stamped cigarettes have become unfit for sale.

These regulations both refer to percentage deductions applied to these refunds, which are set by K.S.A. 79-3312. When these regulations were adopted, the percentages in statute were 2.65%. Pursuant to 2002 SB 39, effective July 1, 2002, these percentage deductions were changed to .90%, and effective January 1, 2003, .80%. Pursuant to 2015 HB 2109, effective July 1, 2015, these percentage deductions were changed to .55%. K.A.R. 92-5-9 and 92-5-10 were not updated to reflect these changes. The proposed amendments align K.A.R. 92-5-9 and 92-5-10 with current statute and, by directly referencing the statutory rates, help avoid the need for similar future amendments.

Section II

Statement by the agency if the rule(s) and regulation(s) exceed the requirements of applicable federal law, and a statement if the approach chosen to address the policy issue(s) is different from that utilized by agencies of contiguous states or the federal government. (If the approach is different or exceeds federal law, then include a statement of why the proposed Kansas rule and regulation is different.)

These regulations are not mandated by federal law.

Section III

Agency analysis specifically addressing the following:

A. The extent to which the rule(s) and regulation(s) will enhance or restrict business activities and growth;

No effect on business activities and growth based on these amendments.

- B. The economic effect, including a detailed quantification of implementation and compliance costs, on the specific businesses, sectors, public utility ratepayers, individuals, and local governments that would be affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) and on the state economy as a whole;
 - No economic effect based on these amendments.
- C. Businesses that would be directly affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s); None.
- D. Benefits of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) compared to the costs; N/A

DOB APPROVAL STAMP (If Required)

E.	Measures taken by the agency to minimize the cost and impact of the proposed rule(s) an regulation(s) on business and economic development within the State of Kansas, local governmen and individuals;					
	N/A					
F.	An estimate of the total annual implementation and compliance costs that are reasonably expected to be incurred by or passed along to businesses, local governments, or members of the public. <i>Note: Do not account for any actual or estimated cost savings that may be realized.</i>					
		Costs to Affected Businesses – \$0.00				
		Costs to Local Governmental Units – \$0.00				
		Costs to Members of the Public – \$0.00				
		Total Annual Costs – \$0.00 (sum of above amounts)				
	Give a deta	Give a detailed statement of the data and methodology used in estimating the above cost estimate.				
	Amending this regulation has no economic effect on any parties. The Department uses the correct strates.					
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☑ Not Applicable	If the total implementation and compliance costs exceed \$1.0 million over any two-year period through June 30, 2024, or exceed \$3.0 million over any two-year period on or after July 1, 2024, and prior to the submission or resubmission of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), did the agency hold a public hearing to find that the estimated costs have been accurately determined and are necessary for achieving legislative intent? If applicable, document when the public hearing was held, those in attendance, and any pertinent information from the hearing. N/A				
	Provide an estimate to any changes in aggregate state revenues and expenditures for the implementation of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), for both the current fiscal year and next fiscal year. N/A					
	Provide an estimate of any immediate or long-range economic impact of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) on any individual(s), small employers, and the general public. If no dollar estimate can be given for any individual(s), small employers, and the general public, give specific reasons why no estimate is possible.					
	\$0.0	00				
G.	If the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) increases or decreases revenues of cities, counties or school districts, or imposes functions or responsibilities on cities, counties or school districts that will					
DOB APPROVAL	. STAMP (If Required	d)				

increase expenditures or fiscal liability, describe how the state agency consulted with the League of Kansas Municipalities, Kansas Association of Counties, and/or the Kansas Association of School Boards.
N/A
Describe how the agency consulted and solicited information from businesses, associations, local governments, state agencies, or institutions and members of the public that may be affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s).

Н.

	N/A
Sectio	n IV
Does t	he Economic Impact Statement involve any environmental rule(s) and regulation(s)?
□ Yes	
A.	Describe the capital and annual costs of compliance with the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), and the persons who would bear the costs. $N\!/A$
B.	Describe the initial and annual costs of implementing and enforcing the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), including the estimated amount of paperwork, and the state agencies, other governmental agencies, or other persons who would bear the costs. N/A
C.	Describe the costs that would likely accrue if the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) are not adopted, as well as the persons who would bear the costs and would be affected by the failure to adopt the rule(s) and regulation(s). N/A
D.	Provide a detailed statement of the data and methodology used in estimating the costs used. $\ensuremath{\text{N/A}}$

DOB APPROVAL STAMP (If Required)
•	